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ABSTRACT: Auditor switching is a behavior by client companies to make auditor transfers. This study aims to examine the effect of audit opinion, audit delay and return on assets on auditor switching. The theory used in this research is agency theory. This research was conducted at mining companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) using the non-participant observation method. The sample selection in this study using purposive sampling technique. The samples obtained were 14 companies with 70 samples in 5 years. The data analysis technique used in this study was logistic regression analysis. The results of the analysis show that audit opinion has no effect on auditor switching, audit delay and return on assets have a positive effect on auditor switching.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Companies that have gone public are required to issue financial reports as a form of corporate responsibility to provide information about the company's financial condition. Financial reports provide useful information for stakeholders (Andreas & Savitri, 2019). The company's financial statements are also a reflection of the company's prospects. A financial report must show the actual condition of the company so that it can be used as material for consideration in making the right decisions (Prasetyo, 2018). Users of financial statements can confidently rely on financial information reported in financial reports when the validity is approved by an independent, qualified, and unbiased third person (Hematfar, 2018). Therefore, an independent auditor is required to audit the financial statements of publicly traded companies.

Auditors must be objective and independent of the information presented by company management in the form of financial reports aimed at increasing the reliability of the company's financial statements (Diandika & Badera, 2017). Auditors must be able to express opinions regarding financial statements prepared and presented truthfully and fairly, in all material respects, in accordance with applicable financial reporting (Kusuma & Farida, 2019). The independence of an auditor is important for auditors when carrying out auditing duties, which requires the auditor to assess the fairness of the financial statements of the client's company (Putra, 2014). The factor that is feared could affect the auditor's attitude is the long audit tenure. Independence will be lost if the auditor and client have a personal relationship, so it will affect their opinions and mental attitudes (Pradita & Laksito, 2015).

The long working relationship between the auditor and the client can affect the objectivity and independence of the auditor in auditing the company's financial statements. This can also be seen from the case of Enron and KAP Arthur Anderson in 2001, KAP Arthur Anderson, which was one of the big KAPs included in the Big Five ranks, could not maintain its independence by engaging in fraud committed by Enron, who was a client of KAP Arthur Anderson (Pratiwi & Muliarti, 2019). In addition, in 2020 the Financial Professional Development Center (P2PK) of the Ministry of Finance will impose strict sanctions on Public Accountant Firms that are proven to have audited and provide opinions not in accordance with the code of ethics or inspection standards on the financial statements of PT Asuransi Jiwasraya (Persero) and PT Asabri (persero) (beritasatu.com). Based on this case, the role of the auditor is very important to bridge the interests between the agent and the principal, so that the independence of an auditor is the main thing in every examination. One thing that can be done to avoid decreasing auditor independence and avoiding familiarity between auditors and the company is by changing auditors. Changing auditors is a behavior by client companies to move auditors (Wulandari & Suputra, 2018).

In this study, the factors that are thought to affect auditor switching are audit opinion, audit delay and return on assets. The audit opinion can be indicated as the cause of the change in auditors. The auditor is in
charge of auditing the financial statements. Based on the results of the audit, the auditor provides an assessment of the fairness of the financial statements. The opinion given by the auditor on the financial statements after examining the fairness of the financial statements is said to be an audit opinion. Auditor's opinion is a source of information for parties outside the company for guidance in decision making (Wahyuningsih & Suryanawa, 2012). Company managers certainly want an unqualified opinion for their financial statements because this opinion is good news for stakeholders. If the auditor gives an opinion other than an unqualified opinion, it can lead to a change in the auditor because an opinion other than an unqualified opinion can be considered insufficient. The results of research conducted by Wijaya (2013) show that auditor opinion has a significant effect on auditor switching and the results of research conducted by Gharibi & Geraeely (2016) show that there is a positive and significant relationship between auditor opinion on auditor switching. The results of the study are inversely proportional to the results of research conducted by Pawitri & Yadnya (2015) that audit opinion has no significant effect on voluntary auditor switching and the results of research conducted by Dwiprayana & Suputra (2019) that audit opinion has a negative effect on auditor switching.

Audit delay can also be indicated as the cause of auditor switching. Audit delay is the length or time span required for an auditor to complete an audit task on financial statements as measured by the number of days from the date of the closing book year, namely December 31, until the audited financial report is signed by an independent auditor (Praptika & Rasmimi, 2016). Mande & Son (2011) said that completing an audit task that takes too long allows a company to change auditors in the following year. The length of the audit delay is directly proportional to the publication of these financial reports to the general public and interested parties. Delay in reporting will make investors think it is a bad signal for the company (Wati, 2020). So that when the audit report is not provided within the specified time, the auditors will be changed (Gharibi & Geraeely, 2016). The results of research conducted by Pawitri & Yadnya (2015) show that the results of audit delay have a significant effect on voluntary auditor switching and the results of Yanti & Bader's (2018) research show that audit delay has a positive effect on voluntary auditor switching. The results of research conducted by Dwiprayana & Suputra (2019) also show that audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching. Research results are inversely proportional to the results of research conducted by Ardianingsih (2015) and Mardasari & Triyanto (2020) showing that audit delay does not have a significant effect on auditor switching.

Return on assets (ROA) is a form of profitability ratio which is intended to be able to measure the company's ability with the overall funds used for company operations to generate profits (Fahira, 2019). The higher the ROA value, the more effective the management of assets owned by the company so that the company's business prospects are good. In addition, changes in ROA can be used as an indicator of the company's financial condition (Wea & Murdiawati, 2015). The company's financial condition also reflects the sustainability of a company's future performance (Rahim, 2016). This increase in ROA shows a growing business. A growing business requires wide spread audit complexity, so companies choose auditors who are more competent to meet their audit needs. The results of research conducted by Arisudhana (2017) show that returns on assets affect voluntary auditor switching (auditor switching) and Wijaya's (2013) research results show that company growth proxied by ROA has a significant effect on auditor switching. These results are in comparison with the results of research conducted by Wea & Murdiawati (2015) showing that the variable percentage change in ROA does not affect the change of auditors and the results of research conducted by Marzida, et al. (2018) show that return on assets (ROA) has no effect on auditor switching.

Researchers conducted this research because there are still cases of manipulation in the mining sector such as the case that happened to PT Cakra Mineral tbk (CKRA). The Directors of PT Cakra Mineral tbk (CKRA) have been reported to the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) and the Financial Services Authority (OJK) due to embezzlement, accounting manipulation and problems related to false disclosures directed by Boelio Muliadi, the company's President Director. CKRA reports that it has acquired PT Takaras Inti Lestari (TIL) and PT Murui Jaya Perdana (MJP), which are two zirconium mining companies. However, CKRA has not paid at all in order to legally control 55 percent of TIL-MJP's shares. The CKRA Directors have also deliberately increased the value of CKRA's assets by falsely consolidating financial statements and exaggerating the value of the paid-up capital of the two mining companies so that investors can not make the right investment decisions and cause investors to experience significant losses from disclosure, fake, misleading and inaccurate that has been submitted through the IDX and OJK platforms (beritalima.com).

II. CONCEPTUAL MODEL AND HYPOTHESIS

Audit opinion is the auditor's opinion regarding the audited financial statements (Azhari & Nuryatno, 2019). The opinion issued by this auditor can influence the decision making made by stakeholders. Management will be very happy if the auditor can provide an unqualified opinion because a perfect opinion can attract investors (Susanto, 2018). These parties will feel confident in a company that gets an unqualified opinion on its financial statements (Dwiprayana & Suputra, 2019). An unqualified opinion is the opinion expressed by the auditor when he concludes that the financial statements have been prepared, in all material respects, in
according to the applicable financial reporting framework. So companies tend to want an unqualified opinion because it can assure stakeholders that the data presented is free from material errors and all information has been disclosed. In addition, the financial report is an accountability of the manager's performance to the company and the auditor's opinion is an independent party assessment of the company's financial statements. Therefore, the audit opinion affects the views of stakeholders on the performance of managers in managing the company, so that managers prefer an unqualified opinion. Companies tend to change auditors when they are not satisfied and do not comply with the opinions given. This auditor change aims to obtain a better opinion in the following year. The results of research conducted by Putra & Suryanawati (2016) found that audit opinion has an effect on auditor switching and the results of research by Dwiphayana & Suputra (2019) that audit opinion has a negative effect on auditor switching. 

H₁: Audit opinion has a negative effect on auditor switching

Audit delay is the length or time span required for an auditor to complete an audit task on financial statements as measured by the number of days from the date of the closing book year, namely December 31, until the audited financial report is signed by an independent auditor (Praptika & Rasmini, 2016). The timely publication of financial statement information can be influenced by the length of time required for completion of the audit so that it can have an impact on market reactions due to the delay in information (Diastiningingsih & Tenaya, 2017). The longer the auditor completes the audited report, the longer the audit delay will be. The duration of the audit delay indicates that the submission of financial reports will also be late. The duration of the submission of these financial reports can give negative signals to interested parties. In addition, shareholders will suspect that there are problems in the company so that it will affect the decisions taken by shareholders and the company's share price (Pratiwi & Muliartha, 2019). Mande & Son (2011) said that completing an audit task that takes too long allows a company to change auditors in the following year. The results of research conducted by Yanti & Badera (2018) show that audit delay has a positive effect on voluntary auditor switching. In addition, the results of research conducted by Dwiphayana & Suputra (2019) also show that audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching. 

H₂: Audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching

ROA (return on assets) is one of the proxies for client reputation, meaning that the higher the ROA value, the more effective the management of assets owned by the company so that the company's business prospects are good (Wea & Murdiawati, 2015). If the ROA ratio increases, management tends to be seen as more efficient from a shareholder's point of view. The higher the ROA, the more effective the company is, because the amount of ROA is influenced by the amount of profit generated by the company (Prasnanugraha, 2007). This increase in ROA indicates a business has grown. A growing business requires a broader audit complexity, so companies choose auditors who are more competent to meet their audit needs. If the auditors are not able to meet the needs of the company's development, a change of auditors will be carried out. Companies need auditors who have higher credibility and are willing to accept the risk of growth in the company (Prijandoko & Supriyoto, 2020). In addition, an increase in ROA indicates the more effective management of company assets, this can increase the ability to pay audit fees. The change of auditors is also expected to improve the company's image and increase the trust of stakeholders. The results of research conducted by (Arisudhana, 2017) found that return on assets affects voluntary auditor switching. In addition, the results of research by Yasinta & Budiono (2015) found that changes in ROA have a positive effect on auditor switching. 

H₃: Return on assets has a positive effect on auditor switching

III. Research Methods

This research uses an associative quantitative approach. This research was conducted at a mining company registered at PT. Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the period 2015-2019, by directly accessing the official website of the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI), namely www.idx.co.id. The population in this study
were mining companies listed on the IDX during 2015-2019. The sampling method used in this study is nonprobability sampling with purposive sampling technique. The sample used in this study obtained a sample of 70 observations that represent the sample criteria with purposive sampling technique. The data collection method uses the non-participant observation method, namely researchers can act as observers in data collection but are not directly involved in the events being observed (Sugiyono, 2017). The data analysis technique used in this study is logistic regression analysis.

The change of auditors in this study is measured by a dummy variable, if the company changes auditors, it is coded (1). If the company does not change auditors, it will be coded (0). Audit opinion is measured using dummy variables. If the company receives an unqualified opinion, code (1) is given and if the company receives an unqualified opinion, code (0) is given. This study calculates audit delay by counting the number of days from December 31 of the year concerned to the date stated in the independent audit report. ROA shows the effectiveness of a company in generating profits by optimizing its assets. The higher the ROA, the more effective the company is, because the amount of ROA is influenced by the company (Prasnanugraha, 2007). The ROA variable can be measured by the profit after tax divided by total assets.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The sample selection of mining companies listed on the IDX in this study used a purposive sampling technique in accordance with the predetermined sample selection criteria, and obtained 70 samples of observations during 2015-2019. The sample selection process in this study is shown in Table 1.

### Table 1 Sample Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  The population of mining companies listed on the IDX in 2015-2019</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Mining companies that do not publish annual reports and annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>financial reports that have been audited by an independent auditor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2015-2019</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Mining Companies that did not make a profit during 2015-2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sample companies</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation year</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total number of samples during the observation year</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Secondary data processed, 2020

### Table 2 Logistic Regression Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1*</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Opinion ( (X_1)_{(1)} )</td>
<td>-1,148</td>
<td>0,715</td>
<td>2,577</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,108</td>
<td>3,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Delay ( (X_2) )</td>
<td>0,118</td>
<td>0,029</td>
<td>17,028</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>1,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA ( (X_3) )</td>
<td>8,620</td>
<td>4,589</td>
<td>3,528</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>4,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-9,043</td>
<td>2,145</td>
<td>17,777</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>0,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data processed, 2020

The results of logistic regression analysis in Table 2 can be made the following equation:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{Y}{1-Y} \right) = -9,043 -1,148X_1 + 0,118X_2 +8,620X_3 + \epsilon
\]

The Effect of Audit Opinion on Auditor Switching

The results of the audit opinion variable hypothesis testing show the coefficient value \( \beta_1 \) of -1,148 with a sig value of 0.108. So, a significant value of 0.108 which is greater than alpha (5%) indicates that \( H_1 \) is rejected. The results of hypothesis testing show that the audit opinion has no effect on auditor switching. Based on this, a company that receives an unqualified opinion or an opinion other than an unqualified one does not affect the change of auditors.

The results of this study are not in line with previous studies conducted by Putra & Suryanawa (2016) and Dwiphayana & Suputra (2019). However, it supports the results of research conducted by Kusuma & Farida (2019) and Andreas & Savitri (2019) which state that audit opinion has no effect on auditor switching. A company that has received an unqualified opinion is satisfied with the opinion given because it is an opinion expressed by the auditor when it concludes that the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. So the company feels no need to change auditors.
prematurely. Audit opinion is not a factor that encourages companies to change auditors because audit opinions other than unqualified are not always followed by the company's policy of changing auditors (Wawo et al., 2017). This audit opinion does not affect management's desire to switch auditors because public companies do not easily carry out opinion shopping to maintain the company's reputation in the eyes of investors or avoid negative sentiments from market players (Andreas & Savitri, 2019).

The opinion given by the auditor is a statement regarding the fairness of the financial statements, whether it is in accordance with applicable regulations, accounting norms and principles. It is the auditor's responsibility to assess the fairness of the financial statements because the opinions generated can be considered in making decisions. This makes auditors have to be independent. Auditors tend to comply with applicable auditing standards. So that all auditors have a comprehensive view and have sufficiently competent audit quality in assessing the viability of the company, so that they will always be objective in their work (Salim & Rahayu, 2014). So with the company changing the auditor, it is possible that the opinion obtained will be similar because the new auditor will seek information about the previous audit report. The results of this study fail to support the agency theory used in this study. So the audit opinion does not affect the company to make a change of auditors prematurely because the opinion given is in accordance with the actual conditions of the company and the manager has accepted the opinion. In addition, the published opinion is based on the agreement between the auditor and the client, so the auditor's opinion is in accordance with the client (Septiyawan, 2017).

The Effect of Audit Delay on Auditor Switching

The results of hypothesis testing of the audit delay variable show the coefficient value ($β_1$) of 0.118 with a sig value of 0.000. So, a significant value of 0.000 smaller than alpha (5%) indicates that $H_1$ is accepted. The results of hypothesis testing show that audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching. The results of this study are not in line with previous research conducted by (Qomari & Suryandari, 2019) and Mardasari & Triyanto (2020). However, it supports the results of research conducted by Dwiphayana & Suputra (2019), Arisudhana (2017) and Yanti & Badera (2018).

Audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching because timeliness in publishing financial reports is an important matter that needs to be considered by companies, because with this the public can assess the company's performance whether in good or bad conditions. When a long audit delay occurs, there are many questions that investors and the public will think about if the company is in a bad state (Kasih & Puspititasari, 2017). Shareholders will suspect that there are problems in the company so that it will affect the decisions taken by shareholders and the company's share price (Pratiwi & Muliartha, 2019). The length and shortness of the audit delay can be influenced by the complexity of the audit process, auditors need more days to audit the parent company and its subsidiaries (Pawitri & Yadnyana, 2015). So that to avoid long audit delays in the following year, the company changes auditors.

The results of audit delay research have an effect on auditor switching and support the agency theory used in the study. In agency theory, there is an information gap between the principal and the agent, where the agent has more information than the principal. In this case, an external auditor is needed to assess the agent's performance and report it to the public. Timely submission of financial reports can reduce the information gap that occurs between the principal and the agent, because the principal gets fast information. If the audit delay shows that a long distance will affect the delivery of corporate financial information to the public. This information will affect the company's share price. If the company is late in issuing financial reports, investors cannot quickly find out information about the company's business sustainability for investment provisions (Mardasari & Triyanto, 2020). This can cause the company to be late in obtaining additional funds to finance its operational activities (Arisudhana, 2017). Based on this, the length of the audit delay greatly affects the company's external parties. To avoid long audit delays and losses that can be accepted by the company, the company changes its auditors. The new auditor is expected to complete the reporting in a timely manner.

The Effect of Return on Asset on Auditor Switching

The results of hypothesis testing of the variable return on assets show a coefficient value ($β_1$) of 8.620 with a sig value of 0.000. So, a significant value of 0.000 smaller than alpha (5%) indicates that $H_1$ is accepted. The results of hypothesis testing show that return on assets has a positive effect on auditor switching. The results of this study are not in line with the results of previous studies conducted by Marzida, et al. (2018) and Wea & Murdiawati (2015). However, this study supports the results of research conducted by Ernyayani (2020) and Arisudhana (2017).

The higher the ROA, the more effective the company is, because the amount of ROA is influenced by the amount of profit generated by the company (Prasananugraha, 2007). Increasing ROA is considered to be a growing business and managers are seen as effective in asset management. As the company grows, operational activities are becoming increasingly complex (Nuryanti, 2012). Companies that are experiencing growth and have a higher level of complexity need independent auditors who are considered capable. Companies need
auditors who have higher credibility and are willing to accept risks from growth in the company (Alansari & Badera, 2016). Based on the demands of the company, a change of auditors was carried out because the company's operations had changed so that high audit quality was needed to support the quality of financial reports (Peranian & Mimba, 2018). In addition, the higher the ROA owned by the company, it can increase the ability to pay higher quality audit fees.

The results of the research on return on assets affect auditor switching support the agency theory used in this study. Agency theory is a work contract between the principal and agent. In agency theory there is an agency conflict caused by differences in interests between the principal and the agent, the principal wants a profit from his investment and the agent wants a large compensation. This results in a conflict of interest caused by information asymmetry. This information asymmetry can encourage the agent to hide some information that is not known to the principal. So that when the ROA increases, it indicates a growing business, in this case, it is necessary to change auditors who are able to meet the needs of the company in supporting the quality of the audit report. The auditor can help the principal to find out whether a decision made by an agent is truly efficient in running the company. It takes the principal who are able to keep up with the company's growth. This auditor change can also add to the company's image when the auditor can deliver the quality of the audit report that shows the actual condition of the company.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion that have been explained, it can be concluded that the audit opinion has no effect on auditor switching. This means that a company that receives an unqualified opinion or an opinion other than an unqualified one does not affect the change of auditors prematurely. Audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching. This means that longer audit delay can increase auditor switching. Return on assets has a positive effect on auditor switching. This means that the higher the ROA can increase the auditor switching.

Audit delay has a positive effect on auditor switching because the longer the audit delay can have a negative impact on the company. This can raise the suspicion that there is a problem in the company. So that auditors are advised to be able to submit audited financial reports in a timely manner by being able to undergo good communication with managers besides determining the planning and forming an appropriate audit team to oversee the audit process. Return on assets has a positive effect on auditor switching because the higher the ROA indicates the more effective the company is, so that auditors are needed who are able to maintain their skepticism and have high credibility to keep up with the company's growth so that they can assess the real performance of the agent and assess the suitability reported in financial statements. The results showed that the audit opinion had no effect on auditor switching. Researchers can then add a combination of other variables that can affect auditor switching and are advised to use other sectors such as the financial sector, industry and real estate and property.
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