

## Mind Over Mountains: Training the Fear Response

<sup>1</sup>Grace Huegel , <sup>2</sup>Professor Krista Figueroa

<sup>1</sup>*Princeton University*

<sup>2</sup>*Caldwell University*

**ABSTRACT** : Fear is an adaptive neurobiological system that supports survival through threat detection, physiological arousal, and behavioral activation. However, fear is not a fixed response; it is a dynamic process shaped by neural circuitry, evolutionary bias, environmental context, and cognitive training. This discourse integrates neuroscience, environmental psychology, and cognitive performance research to examine how fear is regulated, rather than eliminated. Central to this system is the amygdala, a region of the brain that detects and responds to ambiguous, salient threats, and the prefrontal cortex, which modulates emotional responses through top-down regulation. Additional structures, such as the nucleus accumbens and caudate nucleus, contribute to decision-making under risk by integrating reward value and uncertainty. Evolutionarily, fear systems are biased toward the over-detection of threat, prioritizing survival over accuracy. Gender differences in threat sensitivity further reflect adaptive variations in social and environmental risk processing. Environmental exposure, particularly to nature and natural settings, reduces amygdala activation and supports emotional regulation through parasympathetic activation and stress reduction. Cognitive strategies such as mental imagery and memory reconsolidation provide mechanisms for actively reshaping fear responses through rehearsal and neural reorganization. A case study of Alex Honnold, a free solo climber, illustrates how prolonged threat exposure, cognitive rehearsal, and strong regulatory control can recalibrate fear systems, without eliminating fear itself. Findings support the conclusion that fear is trainable, and mastery involves regulation rather than absence of threat response.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Fear is a fundamental adaptive system that allows humans to detect, evaluate, and respond to potential threats. While traditionally conceptualized as an emotional reaction, modern neuroscience theory frames fear as a multi-system process involving perception, attention, autonomic arousal, and behavioral preparation. At its core, fear is not simply experienced; rather, it is processed and computed through neural systems that evaluate uncertainty, risk, and relevance.

The amygdala plays a central role in this biological process by rapidly detecting relevant stimuli and increasing vigilance in response to ambiguity (Whalen, 1998). However, fear does not function in isolation. The prefrontal cortex (PFC) regulates emotional responses by interpreting context and exerting top-down control over limbic activation, shaping whether a stimulus is perceived as threatening or manageable (Lorenz et al., 2025). When this regulatory system is weakened, emotional reactivity increases and cognitive control decreases, contributing to anxiety and chronic stress patterns.

Fear is also shaped by evolutionary bias, environmental input, and cognitive training. Humans are biologically hard-wired to over-detect threats in ambiguous situations, an evolutionary adaptation that prioritizes survival over accuracy. Environmental conditions, such as exposure to nature, significantly alter stress physiology and neural activation.

Cognitive strategies such as imagery and memory reconsolidation allow individuals to reshape fear responses through mental rehearsal, visualization, and reinterpretation. The central question guiding this research is not how fear can be eliminated, but how it can be regulated, recalibrated, and trained across neurological and biological systems.

### II. EVOLUTIONARY FOUNDATIONS OF FEAR

Fear is an evolutionary-based survival mechanism designed to prioritize threat detection in uncertain

environments. A key feature of this system is a bias toward false positives, interpreting ambiguous stimuli as potentially dangerous. This is evident in heightened amygdala activation as a response to ambiguous conditions (Whalen, 1998).

Gender differences further illustrate evolutionary adaptation in threat processing. Research suggests that women may exhibit greater amygdala reactivity to equivocal emotional stimuli, particularly under conditions of chronic stress, which amplifies threat sensitivity (Lorenz et al., 2025). These differences likely reflect historical environmental pressures and social risk contexts that shaped adaptive emotional processing strategies. From an evolutionary perspective, fear is not a malfunctioning system; rather, it is an overprotective one. Its default orientation toward caution becomes maladaptive only when regulatory systems fail to modulate its activation.

### III. ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION OF FEAR

Environmental context plays a profound role in regulating fear responses. Exposure to natural environments is associated with reduced amygdala activation, decreased cortisol levels, and improved emotional regulation (Kramer et al., 2025). Even brief exposures to nature have been shown to reduce the activity of neural markers that regulate stress and fear responses (Sudimac et al., 2022). It is important to note that urban environments do not produce the same stress and fear regulating effects.

Nature appears to reduce baseline fear arousal, decrease sympathetic nervous system reactivity, and increase parasympathetic nervous system activity. These cascading effects allow for a cessation of stress activation, improved emotional stability, and reduced vigilance. Over time, repeated exposure to low-stress environments may raise the threshold for amygdala activation, effectively recalibrating threat sensitivity (Sudimac et al., 2022). These findings suggest that fear regulation is not solely an internal process but is significantly shaped by environmental input, which can either amplify or dampen neural reactivity.

#### **Cognitive Training: Mental Imagery, Neural Activation, and Memory Reconsolidation**

Mental imagery involves the multisensory simulation of experiences in the absence of external stimuli. It activates neural networks similar to those used in actual performance, allowing individuals to rehearse actions and emotional responses internally (Munroe-Chandler & Guerrero, 2017). This overlap strengthens neural efficiency and improves both motor and cognitive performance. Imagery has been shown to enhance self-efficacy, confidence, and emotional regulation by allowing repeated exposure to challenging scenarios in a controlled setting (Munroe-Chandler & Guerrero, 2017).

Imagery serves as a mechanism for fear regulation by enabling controlled exposure to threatening situations. This repeated simulation strengthens prefrontal-amygdala connectivity, improving regulatory control over emotional responses. Over time, imagined exposure reduces uncertainty and increases familiarity, which directly reduces amygdala-driven threat responses.

Memory reconsolidation allows stored memories to become temporarily malleable when retrieved, enabling modification before re-storage (LaBar, 2007). Through imagery and memory recall, emotional memories can be altered to reduce their intensity. Memory reconsolidation also serves to alter future emotional responses to triggering stimuli. This mechanism provides a biological basis for cognitive restructuring and fear desensitization.

#### **The Amygdala: Threat Detection and Emotional Encoding**

The amygdala is the subcortical brain structure responsible for analyzing emergent stimuli and assessing its threat potential. Rather than generating fear in isolation, it functions as a monitoring system that increases vigilance when uncertainty is detected (Whalen, 1998). This is particularly evident in ambiguous contexts, where the amygdala prioritizes caution over accuracy. The amygdala also plays a critical role in emotional memory by enhancing encoding of emotionally charged information while reducing attention to peripheral details (LaBar, 2007). While adaptive in evolutionary contexts, chronic stress activation leads to dysregulation, contributing to anxiety, impaired cognition, and heightened emotional reactivity (LaBar, 2007).

#### **Prefrontal Cortex: Regulation and Interpretation**

The prefrontal cortex region of the brain is responsible for higher-order cognitive functions including decision-making, interpretation, and emotional regulation. It modulates limbic responses by reappraising stimuli and integrating contextual information. Strong PFC function is associated with reduced emotional reactivity and improved regulation of physiological arousal (Lorenz et al., 2025). Functional

connectivity between the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) and the amygdala is crucial for regulating fear responses. When this connectivity is strong, individuals are better able to manage fear arousal and maintain cognitive control under stress conditions. Alternatively, reduced connectivity is associated with anxiety and impaired emotional regulation (Watanabe et al., 2019).

### **Reward and Decision Systems: Caudate and Nucleus Accumbens**

Fear regulation is also influenced by reward-processing systems, including the caudate nucleus and nucleus accumbens. These regions integrate reward value, risk, and action selection, shaping decision-making under uncertainty. Dopaminergic signaling in these systems modulate motivation, reward sensitivity, and risk evaluation (MacKinnon, 2016). When reward systems are blunted or highly regulated, individuals may display reduced impulsivity and increased conscientiousness in decision-making under stress conditions.

### **Case Study: Alex Honnold and the Regulation of Extreme Fear**

Millions of people have witnessed Alex Honnold traverse mammoth skyscrapers and mountain faces that touch the heavens without ropes or protective equipment. His groundbreaking and world record setting ropeless ascent of El Capitan brought global attention to the sport of free soloing. Alex Honnold's free solo climbing serves as a rare, natural case study for examining how fear is regulated at the intersection of neural circuitry, personality structure, environmental conditioning, and cognitive training. Free soloing requires sustained execution of complex motor sequences at extreme heights without protective equipment, where a single error results in catastrophic injury or death. Despite this harsh reality, neuroimaging and in-depth behavioral analysis suggests that Honnold does not display typical fear reactivity patterns associated with high-threat perception, particularly in the amygdala and reward-processing systems (MacKinnon, 2016; Donovan, 2019).

### **Neural Profile: Attenuated Threat and Reward Reactivity**

Functional neuroimaging indicates that Honnold exhibits minimal amygdala activation in response to emotionally provocative or threatening stimuli compared to both control participants and other high-sensation athletes (MacKinnon, 2016). This finding is significant not because it suggests the absence of fear, but because it indicates a recalibrated threshold for threat detection and fear response. In most individuals, ambiguous or high-arousal stimuli activate the amygdala rapidly to initiate protective responses. In Honnold's case, this activation appears significantly dampened, suggesting that stimuli that would normally trigger heightened vigilance may instead be processed with reduced emotional arousal.

Congruently, reward-processing systems, including regions involved in dopaminergic valuation such as the nucleus accumbens, show limited activation during risk-reward decision tasks in the case of Alex Honnold (Donovan, 2019). This finding complicates the assumption that extreme athletes are primarily driven by heightened reward sensitivity. Instead, Honnold appears to demonstrate a flattened reward response to risk itself, indicating that his motivation is not rooted in thrill amplification but in goal-directed mastery. These neural patterns suggest a profile characterized by low emotional volatility rather than hyper-responsivity. This is not indicative of impairment; rather, it suggests a unique regulatory baseline in which both threat and reward systems are less reactive, allowing for sustained cognitive control during high-stakes performance.

### **Personality Structure: Sensation Seeking with High Conscientious Regulation**

Honnold's personality archetype sheds more light on this engrained neural pattern. He scores significantly above average in sensation seeking, indicating a preference for novel and high-intensity experiences (Edwards, 2026). However, this trait is paired with unusually high conscientiousness, which functions as a regulatory counterbalance. This combination is critical in explaining his fear response processing. High sensation seeking alone is often associated with impulsivity, risk escalation, and reward-driven decision-making. However, when coupled with strong conscientious control, it produces a behavioral profile oriented toward structured thrill-seeking engagement rather than impulsive risk exposure (Donovan, 2019).

In Honnold's case, this manifests as meticulous route planning, repeated mental rehearsal, and strict adherence to self-imposed safety standards during training phases. Viewed through this lens of duality, we see Honnold as an individual who actively seeks extreme experiences while maintaining unusually stable internal regulation systems (MacKinnon, 2016). This combination appears to be rare and unique. It requires both high motivational drive and high inhibitory control systems operating simultaneously without destabilization.

### **Environmental Conditioning: Desensitization Through Repetition and Exposure**

Beyond innate neural and personality structures, Honnold's fear regulation system is strongly influenced by environmental exposure. Years of progressive climbing experience function as a form of naturalistic desensitization, in which repeated exposure to height, risk, and motor complexity gradually recalibrates threat perception and fear response. From a neurobiological standpoint, repeated threat exposure to a stimulus reduces amygdala responsiveness. This reduction is particularly marked when the individual maintains behavioral control during threat exposure episodes (Sudimac et al., 2022).

In Honnold's case, thousands of hours of free solo climbing create a learned reduction in physiological arousal in contexts that would otherwise produce intense fear responses. This process is not simply habituation; it is a restructuring of baseline threat evaluation. Environmental repetition effectively raises the threshold required for amygdala activation, resulting in decreased sensitivity to climbing-specific stimuli while preserving general threat awareness in unrelated domains.

### **Cognitive Control: Imagery, Simulation, and Pre-Execution Rehearsal**

The defining feature of Honnold's performance system is his use of mental imagery as a cognitive training tool. Rather than relying solely on physical repetition, Honnold engages in detailed multisensory simulation of entire free solo climbs, including highly detailed tactile, spatial, and emotional components of the experience (Honnold, 2018; Munroe-Chandler & Guerrero, 2017). This form of imagery goes far beyond abstract visualization; it functions as neural rehearsal. Because imagined and physical execution activate overlapping neural pathways, repeated imagery use strengthens motor planning circuits and increases efficiency of execution under pressure (Munroe-Chandler & Guerrero, 2017). Honnold extends his utilization of imagery beyond success scenarios to include potential failure outcomes, integrating fear-inducing possibilities and threat calibration into his cognitive rehearsal process.

This approach directly interfaces with memory reconsolidation mechanisms. Each time a climbing scenario is mentally revisited, the associated memory trace becomes temporarily unstable and open to modification (LaBar, 2007). By continually rehearsing both successful and adverse outcomes, Honnold effectively rewrites the emotional intensity associated with threat experiences, thereby reducing uncertainty, stabilizing behavioral response patterns, and reducing amygdala activation.

### **Integrated Regulatory System: PFC-Amygdala Coordination in Performance**

At the neural systems level, Honnold's performance reflects unusually strong prefrontal regulation and control over limbic reactivity. Neuroscientific interpretation of Honnold's electroencephalogram scans suggests enhanced frontal inhibitory control, capable of overriding amygdala-driven fear arousal during high-risk decision points (MacKinnon, 2016).

This aligns with broader findings indicating that enhanced ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) engagement supports improved regulation of emotional arousal and improved performance outcomes under stress (Watanabe et al., 2019). With regard to Honnold, this system is not merely reactive, but anticipatory. Through cognitive rehearsal and environmental familiarity, prefrontal regulatory networks are activated prior to threat exposure, effectively pre-charging emotional control mechanisms before a threat is encountered.

### **Psychological Experience: Controlled Arousal Rather Than Fearlessness**

Despite neurobiological indicators of reduced reactivity, Honnold does not describe himself as fearless. Instead, he self-reports a stable emotional baseline with occasional spikes of arousal in extreme contexts, particularly when stakes are most immediate (Donovan, 2019). This suggests that fear is not absent, but highly contained within a regulated range that rarely disrupts cognitive function. Honnold's physiological responses, such as sweating while watching footage of his own free solo climbs, indicate that fear response and threat systems remain intact but are contextually modulated, rather than globally suppressed (MacKinnon, 2016). This distinction is important; Honnold does not lack fear circuitry, instead he demonstrates exceptional control over when and how that circuitry becomes dominant.

### **Fear as a Calibrated System, Not Eliminated but Controlled**

From a macrolevel perspective, Honnold's neural profile, personality structure, environmental conditioning, and cognitive training converge into a single integrated system of fear regulation and control. Rather than eliminating fear, his neurobiological system recalibrates it. By adjusting thresholds of stress activation, strengthening regulatory control, and reducing uncertainty through rehearsal and exposure, Honnold

has retrained his fear response to be less reactive under activation circumstances.

This positions him not as an outlier defined by fearlessness, but as an example of extreme regulatory optimization. Honnold's cognitive functioning illustrates that mastery in high-risk environments emerges from the alignment of multiple systems. Dampened threat reactivity, controlled reward processing, strong executive regulation, and repeated cognitive-emotional rehearsal can result in a retraining of the fear response. Ultimately, Honnold demonstrates that fear is not incompatible with elite performance; instead, fear functions as a signal that can be systematically reshaped, redistributed, and regulated through the interaction of brain, environment, and cognition.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Fear is not a malfunction of the brain, but rather an adaptive system designed to detect and respond to potential threats. Its expression is not fixed. Rather, fear emerges from dynamic interactions among neural circuitry, evolutionary bias, environmental context, and cognitive training. The amygdala detects a perceived threat, while the prefrontal cortex regulates emotional response through top-down control. Reward systems such as the caudate nucleus and nucleus accumbens contribute to decision-making under risk by integrating motivational value.

From an evolutionary perspective, fear systems are biased toward over-detection, ensuring survival in uncertain environments. Environment plays a profound role in fear regulation, wherein exposure to nature reduces amygdala activation and supports emotional regulation. Cognition plays a vital role in the fear response, with mental imagery and memory reconsolidation allowing fear responses to be actively reshaped through rehearsal and reinterpretation.

The case of Alex Honnold demonstrates that even extreme fear environments do not require threat elimination for response control. Instead, they require refined regulation systems that integrate neural control, cognitive rehearsal, and environmental adaptation. Spanning multivariate contexts, the evidence supports a unified conclusion: fear is not a variable to remove, but rather a trainable function. Mastery of the fear response does not demand the absence of fear. It requires an acquisition of adaptive control through the reshaping of evolutionary threat considerations and an environment that compounds reductive amygdala activity.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] DeMaio, J. (Director). (2026, January 25). *Skyscraper Live* [Film]. Plimsoll Productions; Netflix.
- [2] Donovan, B. (2019, March 18). The science of risk: How a neuroscientist and professional climber learned from one another. *Medical University of South Carolina*. <https://www.musc.edu/content-hub/News/2019/03/18/How-a-neuroscientist-and-professional-climber-learned-from-one-another>
- [3] Edwards, S. (2026, January 26). How Alex Honnold can stay cool and collected as he climbs the impossible. *Discover Magazine*. <https://www.discovermagazine.com/how-alex-honnold-can-stay-cool-and-collected-as-he-climbs-the-impossible-48589/>
- [4] Honnold, A. (2018, October 28). *How I climbed a 3,000-foot vertical cliff — without ropes* [Video]. YouTube. [https://youtu.be/6iM6M\\_7wBMc](https://youtu.be/6iM6M_7wBMc)
- [5] Kramer, M., Winhard, M., Juckel, G., & Mavrogiorgou, P. (2025). Nature exposure impacts affect and brain activation in health and major depression. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *106*, 102730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2025.102730>
- [6] LaBar, K. S. (2007). Beyond fear: Emotional memory mechanisms in the human brain. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *16*(4), 173–177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00498.x>
- [7] Lorenz, T., Harp, N., Pierce, J. E., Angeletti, P., & Neta, M. (2025). Chronic stress may amplify gender/sex differences in amygdala reactivity to ambiguous emotional stimuli. *Stress and Health*, *41*(2), e70035. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.70035>
- [8] MacKinnon, J. B. (2016, August 3). The strange brain of the world's greatest solo climber. *Nautilus*. [https://nautilus.us/the-strange-brain-of-the-worlds-greatest-solo-climber-236051/Munroe-Chandler, K. J., & Guerrero, M. D. \(2017\). Psychological imagery in sport and performance. In \*Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Psychology\*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.013.228>](https://nautilus.us/the-strange-brain-of-the-worlds-greatest-solo-climber-236051/Munroe-Chandler, K. J., & Guerrero, M. D. (2017). Psychological imagery in sport and performance. In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Psychology. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.013.228)
- [9] Sudimac, S., Sale, V., & Kühn, S. (2022). How nature nurtures: Amygdala activity decreases as the result of a one-hour walk in nature. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *27*, 4446–4452. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01720-6>
- [10] Vasarhelyi, E. C., & Chin, J. (Directors). (2018). *Free Solo* [Film]. National Geographic Documentary

Films.

- [11] Watanabe, N., Bhanji, J. P., Tanabe, H. C., & Delgado, M. R. (2019). Ventromedial prefrontal cortex contributes to performance success by controlling reward-driven arousal representation in amygdala. *NeuroImage*, 202, 116136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116136>
- [12] Whalen, P. J. (1998). Fear, vigilance, and ambiguity: Initial neuroimaging studies of the human amygdala. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 7(6), 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.ep10836912>